

Proposal Title :	<ul> <li>The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 (Amendment No. X) – Rouse Hill Regional Centre, commercial precincts and smaller lot sizes for dual occupancy, multi dwelling housing and residential flat buildings.</li> <li>ary : The proposal aims to: <ol> <li>Remove the maximum height standard that applies to the Town Centre Core (currently 36m) and the Northern Frame (currently 25m) of the Rouse Hill Regional Centre Commercial Precincts.</li> <li>Restore flexibility relating to minimum lot sizes for dual occupancy, multi-dwelling housing and residential flat buildings across The Hills Shire.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		
Proposal Summary :			use Hill Regional Centre Commercial
PP Number :	PP_2013_THILL_014_00	Dop File No :	13/12752
roposal Details			
Date Planning	12-Aug-2013	LGA covered :	The Hills Shire

Date Planning Proposal Received :	12-Aug-2013	LGA covered :	The Hills Shire
Region :	Sydney Region West	RPA :	The Hills Shire Council
State Electorate :	BAULKHAM HILLS	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Precinct		

# **Location Details**

Street :				
Suburb :	City :	Postcode :		
Land Parcel :	Land zoned RU1, RU2, RU6, R1, R2, R3, R4 Plan 2012.	, E4, B2 and B4 within The Hills Local Environmental		
DoP Planning	Officer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Lillian Charlesworth			
Contact Number	: <b>0298601101</b>			
Contact Email :	Lillian.Charlesworth@planning.nsw.go	v.au		
RPA Contact D	RPA Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Janelle Atkins			
Contact Number	: 0298430266			
Contact Email :	JAtkins@thehills.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Ma	anager Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Derryn John			
Contact Number	: 0298601505			
Contact Email :	Derryn.John@planning.nsw.gov.au			

Land Delegas Date			
Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	Sydney North West	Release Area Name :	Rouse Hill Regional Centre
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North West subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	The regional team is not aware of any meetings or communication with registered lobbyists concerning this planning proposal.		
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	The northern frame area is largely vacant except for an energy substation and informal car parking for staff of the centre.		
	Council proposes to amend the maximum building height map for the residential precincts of the Rouse Hill Regional Centre as part of a separate Planning Proposal.		
	The planning proposal is the result of an application from BBC Consulting on behalf of the GPT Group.		
	The planning proposal will assis Regional Centre of 1,800 dwelling		lling target for the Rouse Hill
Adequacy Assessment			
Statement of the obj	Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	e objectives provided? <b>Yes</b>		
Comment :	The proposal intends to resto Environmental Plan (LEP) 200		lable under Local
	a. Removal of the maximum b Precincts to facilitate the deve		Hill Regional Centre Commercial for the Precincts; and

b. Including a provision for minimum lot sizes similar to clause 20 of LEP 2005. This will facilitate faster processing of applications where justification exists for varying minimum

residential hat buildings	). 	
	lot sizes.	
Explanation of provis	ions provided - s55(	(2)(b)
Is an explanation of provi	sions provided? Yes	
Comment :	height standard apply Northern Frame. 2. Amend clause 4.1 residential flat buildin	Il seeks to: ng height map under The Hills LEP 2012 to remove the maximum ing to the Rouse Hill Regional Centre - Town Centre Core and A Minimum Lot Sizes for dual occupancy, multi dwelling housing and gs of The Hills LEP 2012 to include a more flexible provision to f smaller lots, such as the draft clause outlined in Part 2 of the
Justification - s55 (2)(	(c)	
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the Di	rector General? No
b) S.117 directions identif		2.3 Heritage Conservation
* May need the Director G		<ul> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.3 Home Occupations</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036</li> </ul>
Is the Director General	's agreement required?	No
c) Consistent with Standa	rd Instrument (LEPs) Orc	ler 2006 : <b>Yes</b>
d) Which SEPPs have the	RPA identified?	SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	S117 Directions iden 2.3 Heritage Conserv	
	State listed heritage Oak Inn'), that are loo	al is consistent with this Direction as any potential impacts on two items ('Windsor Road, Baulkham Hills to Box Hill' and 'The Royal cated in the vicinity of land to which the Planning Proposal applies, s part of future development applications.
	3.1 Residential Zone	5
	a greater range of ho	al is consistent with this Direction as it will provide opportunity for busing options and achievement of increased housing densities in and future transport infrastructure and services.
	3.3 Home Occupation	าร
	The Planning Propos permissible without o	al is consistent with this Direction as home occupations are consent.
	3.4 Integrating Land	Use and Transport
	outcome for the com	al is consistent with this Direction as it will facilitate a high density mercial precincts, allow additional housing in a strategic location c transport patronage, thereby supporting the viability of existing services.
	4.4 Planning for Busl	hfire Protection
	A small portion of lar	nd to the east of the Town Centre Core, is classified as bushfire

 prone land (Category 1) – Buffer Zone. Council is therefore required, in accordance with this Direction, to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service, prior to public exhibition, and take into consideration any comments received.
7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
The Plan identifies Rouse Hill as a "Planned Major Centre" and outlines its future direction to continue to develop as a liveable centre with employment, retail and services supported by a future rail station and higher density housing.
The proposed amendments are consistent with the Metropolitan Plan as it provides the opportunity to increase housing supply close to existing and future transport infrastructure.
SEPPs identified
SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
The Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP. Future development will be required to consider the provisions of the SEPP.
SEPP20 – Hawkesbury Nepean River
The Planning Proposal is consistent with this SEPP as the commercial precincts are already zoned B4 Mixed Use, where a range of urban land uses are already permitted. Appropriate controls are already in place to ensure that future development does not adversely impact on the riverine environment.
There are no inconsistencies with any SEPPs, deemed SEPPs or s117 Directions.
OBJECTION FROM THE APPLICANT
BBC Consulting Planners, on behalf of the developer, GPT Group, have requested that those matters not supported by Council and removed from their original planning proposal, be reinstated by the LEP Review Panel. The following matters formed part of the original planning proposal, as submitted to Council:
a. Permit dwelling houses, dual occupancies and semi-detached dwellings in the B4
zone; and b. Remove the minimum lot size for attached dwellings and integrated housing in the B4 zone or reduce the standard to 160sqm.
The applicant argues that these amendments should be made as: - it would reinstate the provisions which previously existed under LEP 2005; and - these provisions would not prevent the achievement of the overall dwelling and density targets for the centre
Council's decision not to support the inclusion of these matters within the planning proposal is supported as:
i. Dwelling houses, attached and detached dual occupancies were not permissible within the equivalent Business 3(a) zone under LEP 2005.
ii. Permitting the above uses is not consistent with the objectives of the B4 Mixed Use zone.
iii. Permitting lower density residential uses within the commercial precincts of the Rouse Hill Regional Centre commercial precincts is inconsisent with the following State policies:

	- Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036;
	- Draft Metropolitan Plan for Sydney to 2031;
	<ul> <li>Draft North West Subregional Strategy;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Draft North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy - Rouse Hill Precinct;</li> </ul>
	- S117 Direction 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport; and
	- S117 Direction 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036.
	The reasons for inconsistency with each of the above are similar and can be
	summarised together. Rouse Hill is identified as a planned major centre
	in the Draft Metropolitan Strategy, which sets a priority to strengthen the centre as a
	high intensity, mixed use, major centre. Maintaining a high density focus for the centre
	in line with the current planning proposal will help support the viability of the commercial centre as well as future rail transport. Whilst current dwelling targets for the
	centre are on track, introducing lower density uses does not capitalise on the
	opportunities afforded by this strategic location.
	opportantico anorada by ano oracegio robationi
	Permitting lower density uses, as proposed by GPT Group, in a location in proximity to
	public transport (North West Rail Link) and employment is inconsistent with promoting
	the strategic role of Rouse Hill as a viable major centre.
	LAND TO WHICH THE PLAN APPLIES
	The front page of the planning proposal states that the plan applies only to the Rouse
	Hill Regional Centre commercial precincts, yet Part 2 Explanation of Provisions states
	that minimum lot sizes for multi dwelling housing and residential flat buildings across
	The Hills Shire will be affected.
	Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal needs to be amended to ensure that the
	land to which the plan applies is correctly stated.
Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A
If No, explain :	
Mapping Provided - s5	55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Yes	
Comment :	An indicative map included within the Planning Proposal showing the proposed change
	to the maximum height of buildings map is suitable for exhibition purposes. There are
	no proposed changes to the minimum lot size map.
	on of F(2)(o)
Community consultati	01 - 500(2)(5)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Council will advertise the Planning Proposal in the local newspapers, send letters to adjoining owners as well as make the information available on its website, at Rouse Hill Library, Castle Hill Library and the Council administration building.

# Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

## Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

#### Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date : Comments in

LEP :

The Hills LEP 2012 is a Principal LEP.

### Assessment Criteria

relation to Principal

Need for planning proposal :

A Practice Note issued by the Department of Planning and Infrastructure in 2008 advised that the use of height and floor space provisions within a Standard Instrument LEP is optional, except in the case of strategic centres where these provisions should be within an LEP to provide certainty.

Council's LEP 2005 did not provide building heights for the Rouse Hill Regional Centre as building heights were determined by Council's Development Control Plan (DCP), the approved Master Plan and individual Precinct Plans. Given the extensive guidance and certainty provided by the Master Plan and Precinct Plans, it is considered appropriate to remove the building height standard over the commercial precincts within The Hills LEP 2012. This will provide flexibility to adapt to changing conditions as the Centre grows and develops. Although this approach is inconsistent with the Department's position regarding inclusion of these controls within an LEP, the Proposal is justified on the grounds that it promotes economic feasibility and is in keeping with the overall objectives of simplifying and deregulating the planning system.

Clause 4.6 Exceptions to development standards is a model local provision that provides the opportunity to justify a variation to a development standard provided compliance would be unreasonable or unnecessary and the variation can be justified on planning grounds. However, clause 4.6 does not provide specific planning criteria upon which to assess a variation to minimum lot sizes. Inclusion of the proposed clause regarding flexible lot size will provide specific grounds upon which to argue and assess a variation to minimum lot sizes and will improve the processing times for affected development applications.

residential fiat pul	iuiiiys.		
Consistency with strategic planning	Draft Metropolitan	Strategy for Sydney to 2031	
framework :	Rouse Hill as a hig North West Growth Proposal will reinfo	osal is consistent with this Strategy whi h density, mixed use Major Centre servi n Centre and surrounds via the north we prce the role of Rouse Hill as a Major Ce egic location close to employment and s	ing new communities within the est rail link. The Planning entre by facilitating higher
	Draft North West S	ubregional Strategy	
	the north west as v region. The Propos	emphasises the role of Rouse Hill in convell as providing for employment opport all is consistent with this Strategy as it versions by allowing higher building height as density housing.	tunities and services across the will facilitate an increase in
	Draft North West R	ail Link Corridor Strategy – Rouse Hill F	Precinct
	located on the wes Strategy promotes	h West Rail Link includes a new station tern side of the Rouse Hill Town Centre a high density focus within the commen ated housing to support the viability of t	shopping complex. The rcial precincts to ensure there is
	Local Strategy - Th	ne Hills 2026 Community Strategic Direc	tion
	Direction and Cent importance of cent	osal is consistent with the Residential D res Direction components of the strateg res developing with a mixed use focus f r the community, as well as a range of h	y. The Strategy highlights the that provides the services and
Environmental soc economic impacts	environmental important important in the second	osal is not anticipated to result in any a acts. The Proposal will assist in achievin ility that was available under LEP 2005 a reased housing densities in proximity to I by a future rail station.	ng the Master Plan objectives, and provide opportunity for
Assessment Pro	ocess		
Proposal type :	Precinct	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make	e 12 months	Delegation :	DDG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2 (d) :	•		
Is Public Hearing b	by the PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the n	natter proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide rease	ons :		
Resubmission - s5	6(2)(b) : <b>No</b>		
If Yes, reasons :			

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

#### No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
01.Cover Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
3 2013 PLP - Planning Proposal to DoPl for Gateway.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Objection from BBC Consulting.pdf	Proposal	Yes

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

, .	
S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>2.3 Heritage Conservation</li> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.3 Home Occupations</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036</li> </ul>
Additional Information :	DELEGATION
	The Hills Shire Council have not requested the use of delegations for this planning proposal. As the proposal affects the Rouse Hill Regional Centre and an objection has been lodged on behalf of The GPT Group, it is recommended that the matter not be delegated to Council.
	It is recommended that the Planning Proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to placing the Planning Proposal on public exhibition:
	a. Consultation is to occur with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service, in accordance with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and any comments received taken into account; and
	b. The planning proposal is to be amended to ensure that the land to which the plan applies is correctly stated as per Part 2.
	2. The Planning Proposal is to be exhibited for 28 days;
	<ol> <li>Consultation with the following State Agencies take place during the public exhibition period;</li> <li>Transport for NSW; and</li> <li>Transport for NSW (NSW Roads and Maritime Services); and</li> </ol>
	4. The Planning Proposal should be completed in 12 months from the week

The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 (Amendment No. X) – Rouse Hill Regional Centre,
commercial precincts and smaller lot sizes for dual occupancy, multi dwelling housing and
residential flat buildings.

following the date of the Gateway Determination.		
Supporting Reasons :	easons : The Planning Proposal is supported as it will implement both local, regional and State strategic objectives in terms of supporting the development of Rouse Hill as a Major Centre as well as implementing housing targets and improving housing choice in an area well serviced by employment, retail, community facilities, bus and future rail transport.	
Signature:	Deman John	
Printed Name:	DERRYN JOHN Date: 15 AUGUST 2013	